Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/681,611	AVINASH ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Shefali D Patel	2621
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) Shefali D Patel.	(3)	
(2) Mr. Christopher R. Carroll (Reg. No. 52,700).	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>14 February 2005</u>	Time: <u>11:00am</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	plicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 1, 26-29		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GE See Continuation Sheet	NERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separal directly resulted in the allowance of the application. of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separal did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summer 	The examiner will provide a written ate record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
BRIAN WERNER PRIMARY EXAMINER		
Sout 2	·	
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applic	cant/Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)

Application No. 09/681,611

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Mr. Carroll was given a copy of suggestions for allowance by fax on February 11, 2005. Mr. Carroll agreed to the suggestions for examiner's amendment and recommend some more changes to claims 27 and 28 on February 14, 2005. The examiner's amendment can be seen along with the allowance. Attach is also a copy of the fax send on February 11, 2005 of suggestions for allowance.